

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/987,040	11/13/2001	Craig Priest	13808	6305	
293 75	90 02/21/2008		EXAM	EXAMINER	
	ell of DOWELL & I	OOWELL P.C.		• •	
2111 Eisenhowe Suite 406	er Ave		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Alexandria, VA	22314		<u> </u>		

DATE MAILED: 02/21/2008

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Notice of Non-Compliant	09/987,040	PRIEST ET AL.			
Amendment (37 CFR 1.121)	Examiner	Art Unit			
	DOUGLAS B. BLAIR	2142			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address					
The amendment document filed on <u>12/6/2007</u> is consof 37 CFR 1.121 or 1.4. In order for the amendment d required.	idered non-compliant because it h ocument to be compliant, correcti	nas failed to meet the requirements on of the following item(s) is			
THE FOLLOWING MARKED (X) ITEM(S) CAUSE TH  1. Amendments to the specification:  A. Amended paragraph(s) do not included by the control of the	de markings.	D BE NON-COMPLIANT:			
2. Abstract:     A. Not presented on a separate sheet.     B. Other	37 CFR 1.72.	•			
☐ 3. Amendments to the drawings: ☐ A. The drawings are not properly ident "Annotated Sheet" as required by 3 ☐ B. The practice of submitting proposed showing amended figures, without r ☐ C. Other	7 CFR 1.121(d). I drawing correction has been elin	ninated. Replacement drawings			
4. Amendments to the claims:  A. A complete listing of all of the claims  B. The listing of claims does not includ  C. Each claim has not been provided we of each claim cannot be identified.  number by using one of the following (Previously presented), (New), (Note that the claims of this amendment paper to the complete that the claims of this amendment paper that the complete that the complete that the complete that the claims of the	te the text of all pending claims (in with the proper status identifier, an Note: the status of every claim many status identifiers: (Original), (Cut entered), (Withdrawn) and (Withdrawn and the presented in ascented in as	nd as such, the individual status must be indicated after its claim urrently amended), (Canceled), drawn-currently amended). ending numerical order.			
5. Other (e.g., the amendment is unsigned o  For further explanation of the amendment format requ					
TIME PERIODS FOR FILING A REPLY TO THIS NO					
Applicant is given no new time period if the non-filed after allowance. If applicant wishes to resub-entire corrected amendment must be resubmitted.	compliant amendment is an aftermit the non-compliant after-final a	-final amendment or an amendmen mendment with corrections, the			
2. Applicant is given <b>one month</b> , or thirty (30) days, correction, if the non-compliant amendment is one (including a submission for a request for continue amendment filed within a suspension period unde <i>Quayle</i> action. If any of above boxes 1. to 4. are conon-compliant amendment in compliance with 37	e of the following: a preliminary ard d examination (RCE) under 37 Cl er 37 CFR 1.103(a) or (c), and an checked, the correction required is	mendment, a non-final amendment FR 1.114), a supplemental amendment filed in response to a			
Extensions of time are available under 37 CF amendment or an amendment filed in respons	FR 1.136(a) <u>only</u> if the non-complice to a <i>Quayle</i> action.	ant amendment is a non-final			
Failure to timely respond to this notice will re Abandonment of the application if the non- filed in response to a Quayle action; or Non-entry of the amendment if the non-cor	-compliant amendment is a non-fi				
amendment.		71-272-3893			
Legal Instruments Examiner (LIE), if applicable		hone No.			
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office		Part of Paper No. 20080215			

Continuation of 4(e) Other: In the third limitation of claim 23, the word "said" is underlined, indicating that the word "said" had been added, however this "said" was present in the claims presented on 4/23/2007. Therefore the presentation of claim 23 is incorrect.